

March 27, 2017

MEMORANDUM

TO: SHA BOARD OF DIRECTORS

**FROM: KELLY MCCLINTOCK
GENERAL MANAGER**

RE: 2017 Spring Zone Meetings

The following is a compilation of the six rural zone meetings recently held over the past few weeks.

A total of eighty-three (83) people representing sixty (60) communities attended the six (6) meetings.

The following is a summary of the feedback for each question;

1. We want your opinion of the SHA Cross Ice "IP" Initiative this past year.

Do you agree with making Cross Ice mandatory for IP after experiencing it for a year? If not, why?

Yes, focused on developing skills, kids had much more opportunity to "experience" the game

Yes

I agree with the smaller playing area, but maybe ½ ice instead of cross ice

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

The pros out weighed the cons however, would have liked a consistent message on how to implement.

Yes

Yes

Yes, great skill development.

Yes

I think the only way to move forward is to make it mandatory.

Yes, having two 4-year old's it gave them an opportunity to be part of the game.

I agree with Cross Ice/Half Ice Modified. I think in our area we need to get rid of the league and focus more on skill development and skating than winning a league.

Yes, I strongly believe that it will develop more players and their skills.

Excellent! I have a grandson in IP. The skills development for all the kids is vastly improved. For all the reasons mentioned in the presentation.

Yes

Yes. 100%

Swift just went through 2nd full year of cross ice and was a success. I agree it is a good thing for developing ALL kids.

Yes

Yes, lots of puck touches for the kids and an appropriate ice size for their ages. Forced them to keep their heads up.

Yes, 100%

Yes, absolutely. Great experience.

Yes, much better practices & more kids progressing.

Yes, after it was accepted we had no issues. Kids enjoyed it and learned to play heads up hockey.

Absolutely.

Yes, more involvement for all players, better puck skills

Yes, more puck touches. Better player development.

Yes, it will increase skill and teach kids to have head up. More touches of puck.

Yes, it was beneficial.

After listening to all the discussions, I would agree.

Yes

I agree with it.

Yes – absolutely the right direction.

Yes

Yes

Yes, its good.

Absolutely, nothing but beneficial.

Yes

Yes

Yes, great benefit for players, stronger and weaker.

Yes, total success. Player developed, kids touched the puck more and were excited.

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes, was just real hard to get going with dividers, etc. Could have had a better rollout.

We loved it, our kids enjoyed it this year.

Yes, absolutely

Yes, our IP players, coaches and parents were all pleased with cross ice.

Yes, I have seen so many new players and young players have a chance to shoot the puck.

Absolutely! Fantastic program!

Yes, seems to be positive .

I did not see any games this year.

Yes, I am an IP Coach Mentor and am a big proponent of the program.

Cross Ice is okay for first and second year but third years definitely half ice.

Yes! I did not agree at first but love the idea now.

Yes, worked great.

Not mandatory but yes we did see progression. Just a hard pill to swallow for some.

Yes

Yes

Yes, it was well received.

Yes

Yes, most involved thought it was good after experiencing it.

Yes, it took some time but people have bought in.

Yes, we have been supportive all along.

Yes, complete buy in by our community and coaches.

Yes

Parents are used to it by end of year. Went over good once season got going.

Yes

We like cross ice hockey, the kids get more touches, require more skill.

Yes

How did you find the resources that have been developed by the SHA? What needs to be changed within the guide?

The guide is good. I think you need to make a "retreat" rule after goal and drop the puck after the horn.

Good

There was some confusion with the guide and information from the SHA on Cross Ice vs Half Ice and when each is applicable.

Resources are very good so far.

Not sure.

Good, nothing.

The booklet really explained the aspects well.

Good for the first year. Not sure if changes needed or not.

They are good.

Better clarification of what is required.

I feel many of the resources are based around city and not rural.

They are good considering I didn't know anything at the beginning.

The resources are very good. The manuals, website and office staff are very good.

Good.

We found the resources to be useful.

It would have been nice to have some monetary support for the required dividers.

Would like to see something for the parents as to what they should expect going forward in Minor Hockey.

It's good.

Did not read it. (New to position)

Did not read the guide.

N/A

I think they're fine.

Informative

As long as the guys remain reachable on the phone I'm happy. Guys return calls/email quickly which is great.

Our coaches would like to have a few examples of different innovative ways to use the ice.

I like the resources we just have to get parents to read them.

Good

Very informative.

They are good.

They were good and very easy to use.

They were good enough to get us going now that we have a year under our belt it should only get better.

The guide was very useful. I referred to it often throughout the season.

Very helpful, clear direction, coaches can make better use of them.

Great resources, I like the topic today regarding modules for ages.

Very good. Very self explanatory and mentors were available if needed.

I think they are doing an excellent job with the resources.

Very informative.

Satisfactory.

Excellent.

Easy to find. Lots of good drills.

Just make the guide more accessible.

N/A

They were easy to access. Videos are a good idea.

Getting more information out to the parents sooner.

I didn't ever see a copy of the guide, probably my fault, but don't know how many our MHA had on hand.

Nothing. Al did a great job working with our organization.

I think the resources were good. It does come down to the mindset of the coaches. They need to have a better understanding of the focus of the program.

Can't comment.

Very helpful.

All is good.

I felt it was user friendly

Would like to see the IP Mentors spend more time with newer coaches

Good. Most people are App based researchers. Moving all resources into an App would be helpful.

I think the coaches need to follow it more.

The resources developed by SHA seem to be working

More Video presentation for Cross Ice practice plans and parent info. We need to develop an official evaluation template so coaches better understand situations

Resource was good – need to force coaches to use it more

Do you agree with the IP Coach Mentorship Initiative? Did your IP Coach(es) enjoy it?

Absolutely – think there should be 10x more coach mentorship at the IP level

Yes

Yes and Yes

It was good, could come out more often

Yes/Yes

Yes and Yes

Didn't have one

We were never offered, or approached.

I think it is a good idea.

Yes, most, some 3rd year coaches still not 100%.

Majority were ok with changes. Some are still fighting it.

We did not have a mentor as far as I know.

Yes

Yes, I think the coaches enjoyed it but with the league structure didn't take full advantage.

I had a talk with the IP coach today and received a lot of positive feedback.

All coach mentorship is valuable. Being Canadian does not naturally make you a hockey expert.

Yes, they did. It is a great help with getting you or first time coaches going.

Yes, we agree. We enjoyed meeting Milt. He was a good choice.

I agree and so do the coaches, they appreciate it.

I agree with it. Would like to see them more often. Only seen them once.

I do agree with the initiative. There was minimal contact with our mentor, but he did share useful information throughout the season.

IP coach never provided feedback.

Feedback was good.

Yes, great to have that resource rather than always calling SHA.

We never had a mentor out due to scheduling conflicts.

Theory is good with busy schedules easier said than done sometimes.

Yes, mentorship was helpful. Coaches embraced it.

Yes. Yes.

Should be more involved. Coaches weren't responsive in Esterhazy.

Yes.

I agree with it.

Yes

I like it. It helps out new coaches and gives them a support system. Ours better of enjoyed it

Yes we agree and it was well received.

I do agree with it. We didn't take advantage of it this year, we will for sure next season.

Yes

I do. There were some issues but they did it.

Not at the start. By the end of the year they were happy.

Yes, we were fortunate to have Regan Beck. He was very good to deal with.

Yes, they did.

Yes. It is a good idea. I would like it to go as an IP on ice working clinic being offered.

I would like to see a mentor. I think that would be great for all of our IP coaches.

Yes, they found it very worthwhile.

Good idea.

Mentorship is good. Maybe as a mentor prior to coming maybe give a little information of what it will involve.

Yes, haven't talked to coach yet. Talked with mentor at first visit.

We all enjoyed it.

I agree with it but don't feel that our mentor actually spent any time with our community.

Our coaches were unable to try the mentorship but will this coming season.

Yes for the most part.

Yes

Not sure

I agree with it.

Yes

I agree with it. They did but I think they'd like to be shown some things physically.

Did not have an IP Mentor come to Lashburn.

Did not have any contact.

N/A Never saw one.

Yes, in theory but they didn't come to our community. Only reached out to a manager once not to the coach.

Had one email. Mentor never showed up.

I agree. The coaches liked having someone to talk to.

Yes, Yes

Yes, Yes

I agree, as it is a "big shift" for a lot of people so the instruction is excellent. Mixed feedback from our coaches.

Yes, they felt it was good.

Yes

Yes, the coach's found it very enlightening and used the new techniques at practice.

I think it was an eye opener on the outlook of the coaches.

Yes, we got very good feedback from all in our area.

What suggested changes/alterations would you recommend to the initiative?

Standard net size.

More Jamborees.

Ensure information from SHA and Mentors is consistent across the board.

I think once we have gotten the feedback from the actual mentors this could be answered better.

Some help with funding for the bumper pads.

None

Association should be contacted on who the person is in their area.

Make sure they go to each community at least once.

Get us one.

Partial reimbursement for dividers.

None.

Not sure.

None at this point.

More visits.

Sharing of ideas which have worked in other Associations.

Get a mentor list out to all organizations.

None.

Stress to coaches the importance of using their Hockey Canada guides for using a variety of ideas to keep kids interested.

Just keep it going.

Make it cross ice only for IP.

I like the idea to mandate no IP games until January.

Having a parent session during a jamboree.

Perhaps a session where all the coaches meet with the mentor as a group, rather than the mentor trying to visit each coach individually.

Contact MHA when visiting to meet/greet executive and exchange ideas, get support from MHA with encouraging IP coaches.

Need more, as some centers didn't come to the practice. We have a coach mentor so they also helped.

Set schedules for areas. SHA assign towns to host in rotation by area, 3 or 4 towns.

I like the program for the IP and should be moved up for Novice age group as well.

See if they come out this year.

Few more solid guidelines, period times etc. Length of games.

Providing mentors for all MHA's if possible. More consistent communication of policy from SHA.

None at this point.

No league play!

Leagues, schedule and playoffs should be removed. Teach skills, not satisfy parents and grandparents.

None

Maybe more video material to coaches/parents

½ ice to ¾ ice over cross ice

More mandate for equipment (ie: net size, etc.) such that there is consistency. Maybe a specific IP Coach Clinic at the start of the year on how to keep kids engaged.

2. What are your thoughts of the SHA Season Plan concept for Novice? What are the advantages and disadvantages?

I think it would help those coaches who are simply there as a volunteer when no one else would. Need more information to highlight the benefits to members.

Not in agreement for Estevan. Advantages – skill development; Disadvantages – No Games, No Fun

It would work better in large centers where ice may be an issue, but could hurt small centers for door money and/or concession as general public doesn't care to watch just practice

I can see the advantages in some communities

Not a fan. SHA would be better served by pushing hockey associations to follow Hockey Canada LTPD model which included skill development as their #1 priority

Needs more open discussion. Could be financially crippling to smaller centers

Because of our small town I would vote no. But I would look at one novice player cant score more than 5 goals (or whatever number)

Advantage – the development prior to games. Disadvantage – just the approach of the scheduling of the season.

I agree with seasonal so more skills can be developed.

I agree, they need a lot of practice but I don't agree with waiting until after Christmas for games.

Good idea but needs to be mandatory not just a guideline.

I think it's great but will be a hard sell to many coaches and parents for development. SHA should limit tourneys till later in the season.

I think it's a good idea. More practice time to develop skills before games is very important.

There will be many complaints from competitive parents.

I think it would be good. It would hopefully develop better skilled players and hopefully keep our rink open longer.

I understand the idea. Again, it will have to change the structure of the league but I think it should be done.

It will definitely be helpful in the development of kids but I see a lot of push back from coaches and parents if it is passed.

Good idea – emphasis on skill development not leagues and standings.

I would be opposed to development season being that long. I will look to implement a small one (2-3 weeks) at our league meeting.

Still thinking.

I agree with this. We have done this in Swift Current since my son started hockey 10 years ago. Works well and kids enjoy it.

Concept is good. We would have to put our heads together for revenue for Minor Hockey and rink. Get creative with ice scheduling.

It would be a challenge in a community like ours that depends on concession, 50/50 etc. Also, ice time is limited so it would be difficult to get all our games in.

It's good, might cram games in busy months at the rink. I see the entire winter as developmental.

We do this sort of start a bit later, pulled out of league, stations, more development league.

I don't mind starting later, just not sure how well received the half/cross ice would fly.
I think the kids who want to be there are going to improve and the kids that don't want. More practice is only effective for the kids who want it.
Very good. No disadvantages at all.
Starting later would be ok, maybe as late as suggested. No to cross ice at this time till IP moves up.
Excellent. Cross ice for first part of season in Novice. Great lead into player development.
Artificial Ice VS. Natural Ice.
Would get more kids involved and skill set for kids would be stronger.
I think that pushing back that far will tax the ice in the second half.
All I see is advantages for skill development.
Agree, will be tough to get parents on side.
I like it. I think it would help player retention. If the coaches can keep the practices fun. It would be a huge advantage.
Fully supported.
I like the seasonal plan concept. More practice time is a definite advantage. Parents not seeing the benefit would be the disadvantage.
Don't like start date.
It's one of those things that is hard to judge without experiencing it. I have a hard time feeling comfy having kids play for 4/5 year and not knowing D Zone responsibilities/faceoffs etc. But I'd be up for anything.
Advantages – obviously more skill development. Disadvantages – try drilling this into parent's heads.
I like it. Will be trying to compliment in STEP.
Disagree with it. Atom is too late to introduce positioning, icing, offsides, etc.
Any natural ice rinks would hamper or change/increase the ice fee due to ice rentals.
Will be a tough sell unless league driven to delay games until later in the season.
Undecided. I think it may prove as a positive thing for first year, but second years will be very bored.
Like it. Maybe a few exhibition games or mini season. 4 or so games to use as measuring stick for progress.
Don't like idea.
I think this seasonal plan will help smaller communities as I know our Novice League needs more instruction so they can improve.
I agree with it, especially now that we will have players coming up from Initiation that haven't played full ice.
We can see some advantages with a period of practices before League play, but maybe not as long as January 1st, might be too long.
If done, would like this to be mandatory.
It will be a tough sell to parents.
I don't agree. Not sure why we would take the time away from kids.
I would like to see game still played before Jan. 1. Possibly a ratio of 4 practices to 1 game.

I like it. Advantages – Focus on skill development, better prepared kids. Disadvantages – Parents and kids may lose interest.

I think it would be good. I don't know anything about Novice unfortunately.

Don't agree. I feel kids need to practice and play games all season.

Don't agree with proposed change. Loss of interest from kids.

Disagree with cross ice in Novice.

I understand the reasoning will be hard to get "buy-in" from parents.

At this time we are concerned. Agree there needs to be more practice and fewer games, but let us pick our season dates.

I think it would be a hard sell.

I think in the end it would be a positive move as far as skill development is concerned. Focus more on skill developments instead of just playing.

I think they are good, advantages are developing more skill before games, disadvantages are getting people to buy in.

Could be a tough sell but I understand the concept.

The kids love to play and have a lot of fun, so I would be worried it would take some of the fun out of the hockey experience.

No concerns with this initiative. Novice I may be a bit of a concern to that level.

We have been using that format as many North Central League teams are not organized until Novice.

Would like see kids play games all season. They look forward to it.

Novice should play 25 games total. Start November 15th and spread out to mid-March.

November 15 to December 15 start date would be fine. We are lucky to get 2 hours/week for practices so we have skating and development.

In Prince Albert we are already starting to slide back start date for games for Novice until late in November.

3. SHA Registration Regulations

What changes, if any, would you like to see with Registration Regulations.

No responses from anyone.

All good.

None

None

Not sure

Fine as is

Like the no change icing.

I think it would make things easier that all releases go through SHA as parents are really rude and make things extremely hard.

None

None

None

None

All good

None

Have SHA Atom and below release be their decision.

If Atom and below want releases be responsibility of SHA.

More clarity in Girl's programs.

None

None.

SHA take control.

None.

None, keep it as is.

A little more flexibility on deadlines for IP/Novice. We had a new kid move to town (IP), trying to make friends, loves hockey, it was a big deal to SHA, nobody is trying to create IP super teams.

Releases going through SHA would be helpful to Associations.

Have SHA review the O/A perimeters, also handle the releases from Atom and below.

Novice be able to "AP" across if 2 teams are in the same league.

Give leagues access to tools so they can see roster. No overage.

No changes.

No problem that I am aware of.

I like the development of a guideline as to what is expected at each age group.

Change suggested in presentation re: overage players should be made.

None

Not aware of any needed changes.

None

None at this time

Agree with the suggested changes. Need to really look at Atom AA and wish it black and white

No Atom AA

Nothing

Clearer "rules" for those of us that are rural Associations playing within larger centres

4. Hockey Canada Playing Rules

What is your opinion of the changes suggested?

Like both suggested rule changes

Rule changes are good and I support them

Good

Icing – Yes place
Agree with the suggested changes
Make sense to me
I like them both, why not get used to it now.
They are ok.
Yes, for icing and power faceoffs.
Agree
The changes sound great.
They are good.
Both no change icing and faceoff in offending zone are good changes.
Agree with both.
I like both changes.
We agree with the changes in the 2 rules.
They are fine.
I agree with the changes to have consistency in all levels.
Making things standard will only help with consistency.
I think that we're going in the right direction. Make rules same across Sk and all age groups.
Excellent, both are good. Gives a guideline to officials and consistency.
Go for it.
Good idea.
I agree with both. We need all leagues on the same page.
Agree. Easier to have across the board.
Agree.
They are good.
I like both of them and agree they should be implemented.
They are good.
Good.
I like them.
Supported.
I think they will work well.
They are good. Need to be in place.
None I can think of.
Used the changes in Midget AA this year and all was good.
Good
I think they are positive moves.
I like the changes. Maybe just regular icing instead of using the blueline.
Agree
Look fine.
Going right direction.
I think they are good and would benefit if we had more than one team.
Makes sense.
I like both.
I like them.

I agree.

N/A

Agree with the suggested changes.

Fine

I'm good with it.

Do both.

Good

Fine

I like the penalized team face off in their zone.

I think they're good.

Agree with changes.

I like the icing rule.

I like that rules are the same across the board for tiered hockey, Bantam and up.

Sound like good changes.

As long as everyone is using the same rules.

Good, maybe we should look at a game ejection for 3 – Head Contact penalties.

Are there other Playing Rules that need to be addressed?

No Responses.

No

Head contact. Change/Clarify the rule.

The hitting to the head and body contact rules need to be consistent depending on the ref you may or may not get penalized.

Not now.

Head contact penalty.

Nothing

Stiffer hit to the head in Minor ex. limit of infractions, stiffer call.

Head contact more defined.

The direction of Hockey Canada concerns me in regards to body contact and head contact rules.

Not that I can think of.

Really have to look at how head contact is being called.

None I can think of.

Like idea of no icing allowed for penalized teams.

None

I don't think so.

Consider body checking in Female (Midget at least) since a lot of girls play on the edge. If remove girls to own in PeeWee, bring body checking back to PeeWee.

Body checking in girls and bring back checking in PeeWee.

Head contact – more consequences.

Nil

No

N/A

Consistency around the province with head contact penalties.

No

Head contact.

Head contact rule

Yes, contact in minor because it is one of the benefits of cross ice hockey in IP.

More bench minors to coaches who are always yelling at ref

Like idea of no icing allowed for penalized teams.

5. How do we assist in encouraging kids to become goaltenders and then to develop them?

Offer outside mandatory development in goalie fees. Offer equipment exchange to help with some costs.

Offer more grass roots development programs.

That's a tough question, kids wanting to play goal are hard to come by in some communities

More Goalie coaches

Regional "non-profit" goalie camps attended by coaches and goalies

Need coach mentors available

Better coaching, Goalie Clinics, Equipment grants

Promote them to do it and keep going if they like. Try letting all kids at young ages play net.

More training for coaches.

Coaches

The plan to increase coaching availability will help. Kids are currently left to their own devices.

Community goalie instruction with mentors will help get more kids involved.

Help offer development camps.

Encourage MHA's to rotate players (many or all) in goal up to Atom. Encourage MHA's to have a goal coach.

State no full-time goalies in November in order to promote.

No full-time goalies in Atom and below. Make it a rule not a guide line.

Having someone qualified come around and teach would help.

Rotating players through out IP, Novice and Atom.

Have the goalie nights that were suggested.

I think giving more goalies a chance at younger ages exposes them to the position more.

Let the young kids have opportunities to play goal.

Subsidize, no set goalie till Atom, goal coach in organization is a great idea.

Mentorship with SHA initiative.

Let them do it at a younger age. Minor Hockey Association purchase equipment to ease cost.

Every kid should have a chance in net. In Atom and higher we need to develop a goalie instruction night tied to our power skating.

I like the developing a goalie coach and having 1 day a week/month.

Goal tender mentor great!

Train goalie instructors/volunteers.

I like the idea of Associations hosting goaltending nights once or twice a month. Also, providing proper instruction to coaches.

Just more people willing to teach it.

?

? We need to give them support throughout the year. Not sure how to encourage them to become one.

Encourage more kids to try.

Not sure.

Try and get coaches and parents on board.

Find local goalie that can teach kids the basics, don't worry about 2 pad sticks and other crap.

Basics are key. Finding people to teach these keys are essential.

I think it's up to the MH Associations to provide the resources for success.

More training of coaches.

I think the big problem is not having kids interested in goaltending rather a parent perspective.

A lot of parents won't allow their child to play the position.

As Associations help to find coaches at the local level to work with them consistently through the year.

Put score limit at Novice level. They get discouraged when one kid scores 17 goals and then they don't want to play again.

Still too much weight on winning which forces one kid into the net and they stay. Other kids never get a shot.

Have more support for them.

Having more goaltending clinics.

Opportunity for goaltenders to attend goaltender camps or goalie coaches.

I don't think there should be full time goalies till Pee Wee. We need to develop more goalies.

Creating a program to address goaltending similar to the IP Mentorship.

Tough in small towns. Try to use Junior or Senior goalies.

Have older kids that play goal come out to help young kids.

Rotation at younger levels; clinics for both goalies and coaches; mentorships.

Have goaltenders/goaltending coaches come out and work with goalies.

Have clinics/teach them not to be scared. We suffer for goalies Pee Wee/Atom.

Better mentorship

Use goaltender mentors and send them around.

Goaltending mentorship.

Better training for our coaches.

We run a goalie clinic bi-weekly. Novice should not be allowed full time positions.

I think we have to be better advocates and then use local assets in our communities such as past goaltenders.

I believe this is an Association/People relationship.

More help with development in the smaller centres.

More work in our Associations.

More goal clinics.

Some of our goalies attend "Mind the Net" in Star City.

I like the concept of a goaltending mentor who can work with our coaches.

Having more goalie coach recruitment directly in our Association would be good as well.

More training and goalie specific coaching.

It needs to come through coaches and have them not type cast kids into one position at the younger age groups.

6. Other Topics

Did your MHA create a working relationship with the local Skating/Figure Skating Club? If so how did it work out? If not why?

The Junior team runs Can Skate for skating club under direction of Skating Coach. They don't have interest in hockey side.

No, we did not, no real reason.

Yes, worked well at start of the year.

Not yet.

Our local skating club has difficulty finding certified coaches.

No

Yes and the coaches and parents loved the idea.

Same as other year.

We are starting to develop a relationship. We offered for any hockey players to go and skate during skating club time and vice versa. It's a work in progress.

No – lack of ice.

Somewhat, should be more.

No, use power skating.

We have a skating club but we had power skating one day a week for our initiation/atom team.

Yes. We held a weekend power skating clinic as well as a weekly power skating session per age group.

Yes, it worked well. Some issues with parents wanting their kids to move to hockey that may not be ready. Overall, strong working relationship.

No

Haven't. Not much for certified instructors in rural areas.

We did not. We brought in a paid Development Coordinator to focus on our AA and Provincial programs.

We worked with Mind the Net Goaltending 2015-16, 2016-17 seasons.

Yes

We didn't have anything in place, however, we will be looking into it.

We just got the club back this year.

It's an ok relationship.

Good working relationship.

Have a working relationship previously for Pre – IP level, have power skating program for all age levels.

Not a good working relationship at the present time.

Yes we did, kids in IP are strongly encouraged to take both programs.

Yes

We could not work with a brick wall. We went and hired our own instructor.

Yes, they have an option of either Can Skate or a Pre-Initiation program. You must take one or the other before starting Initiation.

No – we did other ways.

No.

No.

Not formally.

Yes, works well.

Not all season. But the skating club runs a Power Skating Program pre-season.

N/A

N/A

Yes, we work with the skate club and have all our IP in Can Skate twice a week.

No, difficult past history.

No, strained relationship.

No club.

Yes, \$100 off IP registration if registered in Can Skate.

No.

Don't have a local club.

We have an amicable relationship with our skating club.

We have power skating. Looking at ways to improve it.

We have power skating instructors for kids.

We run our own power skating program.

Yes, pushed the benefits of skating.

No, we did power skating on our own.

No, but we have hired a power skating instructor to work with our kids in all age groups for 7 hours prior and during the season.

Yes, Can Power Coach used during hockey practice time. Was a conflict with some people who don't think it was important.

No, have our own developmental/skills trainer.

No, but have a good-sized group of kids that take the power skating class each year.

No

Yes

Yes, have for years, can see the improvement of skill set.

Yes

Yes. It works OK. We are well.

Tried

No, they were already running or power skating

Yes, I would
Yes

Would you like to see a Model be created that outlines the development of players in Minor Male and Female for the Province?

Yes.

Yes, I would

Yes.

Yes.

It might help curb the atom parents who think their kid is the next Wayne Gretzky

Yes, it would work for old coaches and really help the new coaches.

Yes.

I think it would help for coaches to have goals to shoot for. I think we do have to be careful as it can be used as a weapon against coaches from parents who are just looking for things to pick coaches apart.

Yes, would provide a method of comparison.

Yes, it is a great idea and would be an excellent tool to use at parent meetings and for coaches to show what areas need to be worked on.

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Sure

Yes

Yes

Yes, would benefit all.

Yes

Yes, any direction is recommended.

Kind of already there.

I think this would be beneficial.

Yes

Yes, our high schools do their Hockey Academy off ice and doing coach cert. Awesome!

Yes

Yes, it would help parents understand the progression of players and help them understand coaching methods.

Yes

Yes.

Yes.

Yes.

Yes, I think it's a great idea.

Yes.

Yes – helps. Deal with parents.

A visual for parents who have no idea/think their kid is heading to the show would be great to help them understand.

Yes

Yes, I think it would be good for parents.

It certainly wouldn't hurt.

I think it would benefit parents who are blind to how the system works.

Seems to be a lot of work for minimal benefit.

Not really concerned.

Yes

Yes, as a man who doesn't know a lot about hockey this would be very helpful.

No

Yes

Yes, some people are visual learners and this could be a great tool for them for understanding.

Not sure it would make a difference.

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes, I think for all from IP to higher.

No

Yes

Would be fine with it.

Might help – not sure.

It would help with parents and coaches.

Not sure if it is necessary.

Yes

I think most people could take it or leave it. Not sure what the goal would be for the time that would have to be put into building it.

Yes

Yes

Yes, very good idea to standardize.

Not a bad idea.

Any other comments on any topic?

AA Teams should not be raiding minor hockey associations mid-year.

Thank you.

Provincial game refs. I think both teams should approve the ref assigned to the games prior to the start.

Help in creating B Provincials.

Would like to look at options for pee wee/bantam/midget B provincials for interlock centres that tier teams.

Good informative meeting.

Disappointed in the Officials Clinic.

Meant to ask about Caronport's Hockey Academy.

Slew foot penalties (Ref's have to be informed). Head contact penalties when players with a big size difference collide. The big players will always get penalized. Ref's informed about size differences.

Good Meeting by Kelly

Better training for refs so games are called more equal at the levels